

1 MATTHEW F. WEIL (Bar No. 157505)  
2 CHRISTOPHER D. BRIGHT (Bar No. 206273)  
3 McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP  
4 18191 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 500  
5 Irvine, CA 92612-7108  
Telephone: 949.851.0633  
Facsimile: 949.851.9348  
E-mail: mweil@mwe.com  
E-mail: cbright@mwe.com

6 PETER P. CHEN (Bar No. 111426)  
7 McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP  
8 3150 Porter Drive  
9 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1212  
Telephone: 650.813.5000  
Facsimile: 650.813.5100  
E-mail: pchen@mwe.com

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
11 SANDISK CORPORATION

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

14 SAN JOSE DIVISION \*E-FILED - 7/27/06\*

15 SANDISK CORPORATION, a Delaware  
16 corporation,

CASE NO. C06-02655 RMW

17 Plaintiff,

STIPULATION AND ORDER  
CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT  
CONFERENCE AND ANSWER DATES

18 v.

19 AUDIO MPEG, INC., a Virginia  
20 corporation; U.S. PHILIPS  
21 CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation;  
FRANCE TÉLÉCOM, a French corporation;  
TÉLÉDIFFUSION DE FRANCE S.A., a  
22 French corporation; INSTITUT FÜR  
RUNDFUNKTECHNIK GMBH, a German  
corporation,

Case Management Conference

Date: July 28, 2006  
Time: 10:30 a.m.

23 Defendants.

24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
STIPULATION AND ORDER  
CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT  
CONFERENCE AND ANSWER DATES

CASE NO. C06-02655 RMW

1 Pursuant to Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12, Plaintiff SanDisk Corporation (“SanDisk”)  
2 and Defendants Audio MPEG Inc. (“Audio MPEG”), U.S. Philips Corp. (“Philips”), France  
3 Télécom, TDF (formerly known as Télédiffusion de France), and Institut Für  
4 Rundfunktechnik GMBH (“IRT”) (collectively “Defendants”) request that the Court  
5 continue the case management conference (now scheduled in this case for July 28, 2006)  
6 pending the outcome of SanDisk’s motion to dismiss and motion to transfer, which are  
7 currently pending in a related case in the Eastern District of Virginia.

8 The following facts are relevant to this request:

9 1. Audio MPEG filed a complaint against SanDisk in the Eastern District of  
10 Virginia on April 13, 2006 (“the Virginia Action”), alleging infringement of U.S. Patent  
11 Nos. 5,214,678; 5,323,396; 5,777,992; and 5,539,829.

12 2. On April 19, 2006, SanDisk filed its complaint in the instant case, seeking  
13 declaratory relief with respect to the same patents that are at issue in the Eastern District of  
14 Virginia. In its complaint, SanDisk stated: “In the event the Eastern District of Virginia  
15 holds that it does have jurisdiction and that it is the most convenience [sic] forum, and  
16 therefore elects to retain jurisdiction, over the action filed on April 13, or some portion of  
17 it, SanDisk will take appropriate steps to insure that this Court and the Eastern District of  
18 Virginia are not confronted with duplicative litigation.”

19 3. SanDisk filed a motion to dismiss for lack of standing in the Virginia Action  
20 on April 20, 2006, on the basis that the patent owners (Philips, France Telecom, TDF, and  
21 IRT) had not been joined as plaintiffs.

22 4. Audio MPEG, Philips, France Telecom, TDF, and IRT filed an Amended  
23 Complaint in the Virginia Action on April 28, 2006.

24 5. On May 8, 2006, SanDisk filed in the Virginia Action a motion to transfer  
25 the case to this Court.

26 6. The court for the Eastern District of Virginia heard oral argument on  
27 SanDisk’s motion to dismiss and motion to transfer on May 31, 2006, but has not yet ruled  
28 on either motion.

1       7. The Court in this case has set the initial Case Management Conference for  
2 July 28, 2006, at 10:30 a.m. The Court ordered the parties to file a Joint Case Management  
3 Statement by July 21, 2006.

4       In view of the foregoing, THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE that:

5       1. None of the Defendants shall be required to file an answer or responsive  
6       pleading to SanDisk's complaint until at least ten (10) days after the court in  
7       the Virginia Action rules on SanDisk's motion to dismiss or SanDisk's  
8       motion to transfer;

9       2. In addition, if the court in the Virginia Action decides one but not both of  
10       the pending motions, the parties shall promptly meet and confer to determine  
11       what, if any, further stipulation may be appropriate;

12       3. The initial Case Management Conference currently scheduled for July 28,  
13       2006 and the Joint Case Management Statement due on July 21, 2006,  
14       should be continued until the parties jointly further advise the Court of the  
15       status of the Virginia Action, in a further submission to be filed no later than  
16       September 11, 2006;

17       4. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the parties shall notify the Court of the  
18       resolution of SanDisk's motion to dismiss and motion to transfer in the  
19       Virginia Action no later than five (5) days after the resolution of one or both  
20       of those motions;

21       5. In the event that the Eastern District of Virginia denies SanDisk's motion to  
22       transfer and denies SanDisk's motion to dismiss, SanDisk will promptly  
23       "take appropriate steps to insure that this Court and the Eastern District of  
24       Virginia are not confronted with duplicative litigation."

25       6. By filing this stipulation, Defendants do not waive any arguments, including  
26       that they are not subject to personal jurisdiction in this case or that they have  
27       not been properly served in this case with the summons and complaint.

1 Before the filing of this stipulation, there have not been any changes to Court  
2 ordered deadlines or dates in this case. The effect on the schedule for this case depends on  
3 the timing and outcome of the resolution of SanDisk's motions in the Virginia Action.

4 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

5 Dated: July 13, 2006

7 By: /s/ Matthew F. Weil

8 Matthew F. Weil (Bar No. 157505)  
9 Christopher D. Bright (Bar No. 206273)  
10 McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP  
11 18191 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 500  
12 Irvine, CA 92612-7108  
13 Telephone: 949.851.0633  
14 Facsimile: 949.851.9348  
15 E-mail: mweil@mwe.com  
16 E-mail: cbright@mwe.com

17 Peter P. Chen (Bar No. 111426)  
18 McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP  
19 3150 Porter Drive  
20 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1212  
21 Telephone: 650.813.5000  
22 Facsimile: 650.813.5100  
23 E-mail: pchen@mwe.com

24 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
25 SANDISK CORPORATION

By: /s/ Ethan B. Andelman

26 Robert P. Taylor  
27 Ethan B. Andelman  
28 HOWREY LLP  
525 Market Street  
Suite 3600  
San Francisco, CA 94105

Matthew J. Moore  
Brian A. Rosenthal  
HOWREY LLP  
1299 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.  
Washington, DC 20004

Attorneys for Defendants AUDIO MPEG INC.,  
U.S. PHILIPS CORPORATION, FRANCE  
TÉLÉCOM, TDF, and INSTITUT FÜR  
RUNDFUNKTECHNIK GMBH

29 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

30 Dated: 7/27/06

31 /s/ Ronald M. Whyte

32 RONALD M. WHYTE  
33 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

34 ORC 392449-2.061590.0020 The parties are ordered to file a  
35 joint letter brief as to the  
36 Virginia Action by 9/15/06.